A Bayesian Tutorial

Data Analysis
Introduction: deductive logic versus plausible reasoning

1. The Basics
Corollaries: Bayes' theorem and marginalization

1.1 Probability: Cox and the rules for consistent reasoning

and

Bayes' theorem

\[ P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)} \]

where

\[ P(A|B) = \text{conditional probability of } A \text{ given } B \]

\[ P(B|A) = \text{conditional probability of } B \text{ given } A \]

\[ P(A) = \text{prior probability of } A \]

\[ P(B) = \text{prior probability of } B \]

Bayes' theorem allows us to update our beliefs in light of new evidence. It is particularly useful in situations where we have prior beliefs about the probability of an event and then we receive new information that alters those beliefs.

Bayesian networks

A Bayesian network is a directed acyclic graph that represents a joint probability distribution over a set of random variables. Each node in the graph represents a variable, and the edges represent the conditional dependencies between those variables. The network allows us to perform calculations and make predictions based on the relationships between the variables.

The basics

- **Cause**: a variable believed to influence another
- **Effect**: a variable believed to be influenced by another
- **Possible**: a variable that can influence another, regardless of its current state

For example, in a medical diagnosis problem, we might have variables such as "Sick" (A), "Fever" (B), and "Runny Nose" (C). We can use a Bayesian network to model the relationships between these variables and make predictions about the likelihood of different diagnoses based on the symptoms presented.
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### 1.4 Some history: Bayes, Laplace and orthodox statistics

Bayesianism is a powerful degree in data analysis because it is based on a common abstraction of probability distribution function, which is also given by

\[ P(X|\theta) = \int f_X(x) \cdot f_\theta(\theta|x) \, dx \]

In many cases, this form can be used to address many practical problems, let's take a brief look at the history of Bayes' theorem. Bayes' theorem provides a way to update the probability of a hypothesis as more evidence or information becomes available. It is based on the idea that the probability of an event can change over time as more evidence is collected.

Bayes' theorem is given by

\[ P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)} \]

where
- \( P(A|B) \) is the posterior probability of \( A \) given \( B \)
- \( P(B|A) \) is the likelihood of \( B \) given \( A \)
- \( P(A) \) is the prior probability of \( A \)
- \( P(B) \) is the marginal probability of \( B \)

This theorem is used in many fields, including machine learning, data analysis, and decision-making.

Laplace's method, on the other hand, is a technique used to approximate integrals, especially those that are difficult to compute directly. It is based on the idea that a function can be approximated by its Taylor series expansion around a point, and that the integral of this approximation can be computed using Gaussian quadrature.

This method is particularly useful in Bayesian statistics, where it is often used to compute the posterior distribution of a parameter.

Orthodox statistics, also known as frequentist statistics, is a different approach to statistical inference. In frequentist statistics, the probability of an event is defined as the limit of the relative frequency of the event as the number of trials goes to infinity.

While Bayesian and frequentist approaches have different philosophical foundations, both are used extensively in data analysis and decision-making.
The most important principles of human knowledge:

- The combination of principles and laws of nature
- The combination of principles and laws of society
- The combination of principles and laws of economy

These principles are the foundation of our understanding of the world, and they are the basis for all our knowledge and insight.

In conclusion, the principles of human knowledge are the foundation of our understanding of the world, and they are the basis for all our knowledge and insight.

---

Some basic laws of physics and older statistics.

- Law of gravity
- Law of inertia
- Law of conservation of energy

These laws are fundamental to our understanding of the physical world and are the basis for many scientific theories and applications.
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2.1 Example 2: This is a fair coin.

Parameter estimation is a common machine learning problem. Let's discuss an example.
Example 2: This is a rare coin.

1. The position of the posterior pdf for the prevalence of a coin weighing a certain weight.

2. The position of the posterior pdf for the difference in group scores at time 2.

Figure 1 shows how the posterior pdf for the different group scores at time 2 changed.
Example 1: size of car comp?
The value of \( \mathcal{g} \) is given by

\[
  \mathcal{g} = \mathcal{g}_R \times \mathcal{g}_L = \mathcal{g}_R \times \mathcal{g}_L
\]

where \( \mathcal{g}_R \) and \( \mathcal{g}_L \) are the right and left parameters, respectively.

The formula for the projection of the position vector on the plane is given by

\[
  \mathcal{P}(x) = x \mathcal{P}_x + y \mathcal{P}_y + z \mathcal{P}_z
\]

where \( \mathcal{P}_x, \mathcal{P}_y, \mathcal{P}_z \) are the components of the projection. The projection is used to determine the position of the object in the plane.

The expression for the determinant of the matrix is

\[
  \det \begin{pmatrix}
    a & b \\
    c & d
  \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc
\]

where \( a, b, c, d \) are the elements of the matrix. The determinant is used to determine if the matrix is invertible.

The expression for the position vector in the plane is

\[
  \mathcal{P}(x) = \mathcal{P}_x \mathcal{P}_x + \mathcal{P}_y \mathcal{P}_y + \mathcal{P}_z \mathcal{P}_z
\]

where \( \mathcal{P}_x, \mathcal{P}_y, \mathcal{P}_z \) are the components of the position vector. The position vector is used to determine the position of the object in space.
The problem of finding the best estimate of $X$ when $X$ is a measure of its reliability:

$$\theta, \theta = 0$$

The probability that $X$ is within $\pm 0.05$ of $\theta$ is $95\%$; we would like the

$$0.05 \approx XP(1,2) | \theta + 0.05 > \theta > \theta - 0.05) = \int_{\theta - 0.05}^{\theta + 0.05} \frac{e^{\theta x}}{\theta} dx$$

The probability that the true value of $X$ is within $0.05$ of $\theta$ is $95\%$; the normal distribution is in
terest. The maximum of the number of data, as can be seen in Eq. (11.2), leads to the

$$\frac{N}{o(\theta - 0.05) o(\theta + 0.05)} = \alpha$$

the normal distribution is shown. The expression of $\alpha$ is derived in the same way as in the example.
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1.3.3 Posterior distribution

\[ p(X|D) \]

\[ = \frac{p(D|X)p(X)}{p(D)} \]

Where \( p(D|X)p(X) \) is the likelihood function and \( p(D) \) is the evidence. The posterior distribution is a probability distribution over the parameter space that reflects the updated knowledge after observing the data.

**Bayesian Estimation**

Bayesian estimation is a method in statistics for estimating parameters of a statistical model.

Bayes' Theorem:

\[ p(X|D) \propto p(D|X)p(X) \]

**Posterior**

The posterior distribution is used to make predictions and decisions about the parameter space.

**Prior**

The prior distribution reflects the initial beliefs about the parameter space before seeing the data.

**Likelihood**

The likelihood function measures how likely the observed data is given a particular parameter value.
where the conditional includes all terms not involving the posterior pd of course.

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^{y}}{\varepsilon^{(y-x)}} \sum_{N}^{\text{all}} \text{constant} \cdot \left[ \frac{(f \cdot \nu \cdot \{x\}|\nu|)\phi_{m}}{|m|} \right] = \xi
\]

When the obstruction for the formation of the posterior pd \( \xi \text{ and } \nu \text{ as the height function forming terms } \nu \text{ and } g, \text{ we find} \int_{(\nu \cdot g)}^{(\nu \cdot g)} \text{ according to the conditional expression for the posterior pd} \text{ where the obstruction is formed by the height function. The obstruction is formed by the height function.} \]

\[
\frac{(f \cdot \nu \cdot \{x\}|\nu|)\phi_{m}}{|m|} \]

Example 2: Gaussian noise and overlaps.

\
\[\text{Example 2 Gaussian noise and overlaps.} \]

In the measurement given a set of data \( \{f(x)\} \text{ which is the best estimate of } \theta \text{ and } \phi \text{ a measure of the data.} \]

\[
\left[ \frac{\varepsilon^{-x}}{\varepsilon^{(y-x)}} \right] \text{ and } \frac{\mu_{1}^{\text{d}}}{|1|} = \left( \nu_{1}^{\text{d}} \right) \phi \text{ (d) pd)
\]
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Example 2: The Right-handed Problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(\pi/n)^2} & \neq \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \, dx \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{Example 2: The Right-handed Problem}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{30}{1} = \frac{\pi}{N} \times \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(\pi/n)^2} = \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \, dx
\end{align*}
\]
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\]
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\begin{align*}
\text{For the case of Gaussian noise consideration, the estimation is proportional to the number of data.}
\end{align*}
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
\text{By the second derivative of \(x\), the value of \(x\) by the measurement}
\end{align*}
\]
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\begin{align*}
\frac{\sigma^2}{\pi} = \frac{\pi}{N} \times \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(\pi/n)^2} = \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \, dx
\end{align*}
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\text{In the above equation, since the measurement is made with a}
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Example 2: The Lighthouse Problem

In this example, we consider the position of a lighthouse whose location is known to be within a certain area. The problem is to determine the position of the lighthouse based on the readings from two ships, each of which measures the direction of the lighthouse.

For ship A, the direction is given by

\[ \theta_A = \arctan \left( \frac{y_A}{x_A} \right) \]

and for ship B, the direction is given by

\[ \theta_B = \arctan \left( \frac{y_B}{x_B} \right) \]

The position of the lighthouse is the point where these two lines intersect.

\[ \text{Position} = \left( x, y \right) \text{such that } \theta_A = \theta_B \]

This can be solved using trigonometric identities and the properties of circles and lines.
Parameter Estimation

1. Example of Amplitude of a Signal in the Presence of

2. Background

The parameter pd decides which is best.

despite the estimator's insensitivity. The same
3. unexpected behavior of the output, the above

4. The parameter pd decides which is best.
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7. The parameter pd decides which is best.